



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,346	04/22/2004	Stephen L. Tillim	TILL.0006	2419
7590 01/30/2006		EXAMINER		
REED SMITH LLP			TRUONG, KEVIN THAO	
Suite 1400 3110 Fairview Park Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Falls Church, VA 22042			3731	
			DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/829,346	TILLIM, STEPHEN L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin T. Truong	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status .						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 December 2005</u> .						
,	s action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	<u>-</u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-19,21,22,28-31 and 52-89</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,4,13-17 and 54-88</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,6,8-12,18,19,21,22,28-31,52,53</u>	∑ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,6,8-12,18,19,21,22,28-31,52,53 and 89</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ul>	ts have been received.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	. 🗖 .					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/30/05.	<del></del>	atent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/30/2006 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8-12, 18, 19, 21, 22, 28-31, 52-53, and 89 are rejected under ... 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Saunders (U.S. 5,176,696).

As to claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8-12, and 89, Saunders discloses in figures 1-4, a pair of opposing blades (12,14, including spring members 62,64 and hinge 66), wherein each of said opposing blades (12,14) having middle sections (at 24,34), wherein each of said middle sections (at 24,34) including an extension support member (22,32) extending transversely relative to the direction of motion of the opposing blades (12,14) without being in direct communication with the other of the

Application/Control Number: 10/829,346 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

opposing blades (12,14) (see fig. 4); wherein the distal section of each of the opposing blades (12,14) includes cutting portion (20).

As to claims 18, 19, wherein the proximal section (at 62.64.66), the middle section (at 24,34) and distal section (at 36) of each corresponding opposing blades (12,14) form a generally arc shaped configuration, and wherein the generally arc shaped configuration forms a generally concave side at the top surface and a generally convex side at the bottom surface (when the surgeon holds the instrument as shown in figure 3, with the instrument turns the opposite side), a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 12/30/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 89 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin T. Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-4705. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM..

Art Unit: 3731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin T. Truong Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3731

ktt